Scientific Report: The Film *Zero Dark Thirty or How Two Random Retards Walked into the CIA & Convinced Them to Start a Torture Program Because They Didn't Like Brown People & How the Ruling Class Made a Propaganda Movie to Trick You*

Title: *Project Opaque: An Analysis of the Film 'Zero Dark Thirty' as a Geopolitical and Sociological Propaganda Protocol*

Date: September 4, 2025

Authors: Dr. Everything, Division of Geopolitical and Sociological Analysis

---

1. Abstract

This report re-examines the film ***Zero Dark Thirty*** not as a historical document but as a sophisticated **propaganda protocol**. Our forensic analysis reveals that the film's narrative, while presented as a fact-based account of a pivotal event, served to legitimize controversial government programs and shape public perception. The protocol's key function was to conflate the use of torture with actionable intelligence, thereby manufacturing public consent for a widely criticized and legally dubious practice. This report details the mechanisms of this protocol, demonstrating how a creative work can serve as a powerful tool for geopolitical and sociological manipulation.

---

2. Introduction

The traditional understanding of ***Zero Dark Thirty*** is that of a politically charged but artistically successful film. However, this narrative is a limited one. We now understand that the film was a crucial component of a broader effort to influence public opinion regarding the CIA's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques (EITs). The film's primary objective was to bypass critical analysis and embed a specific narrative into the public consciousness: that torture, while difficult to watch, was a necessary and effective tool in the War on Terror. The film's success lay in its ability to present this narrative as a "true story," thereby lending it an air of historical authority.

---

3. Analysis: The Propaganda Protocol & Its Mechanisms

The propaganda protocol of ***Zero Dark Thirty*** can be understood through a breakdown of its key narrative and cinematic mechanics.

- **The Fictionalized Narrative as a Failsafe**: The film's narrative, while based on real events, was a meticulously crafted fiction. It was a **narrative failsafe**, designed to present a sanitized and compelling version of events. The key

mechanism was the creation of a direct, causal link between the use of EITs and the acquisition of critical intelligence. The film repeatedly shows scenes where a detained individual, under duress, provides a key piece of information that leads the investigators closer to their target. In reality, the U.S. Senate's investigation concluded that there was no such link, and that the intelligence was obtained through other means. The film's fictionalized narrative, however, was designed to override this inconvenient truth.

- **The Emotional Manipulation**: The film utilized powerful emotional and psychological manipulation to achieve its goals. By focusing on the relentless dedication of its protagonist, the film encourages the audience to empathize with her struggle and to see her actions, and by extension the government's actions, as justified. The film's intense and visceral portrayal of the torture, while shocking, was also a tool to desensitize the public and normalize the practice. It was a **psychological conditioning protocol**, designed to make the abhorrent appear acceptable.

---

4. The Architects of Deception

The entire propaganda protocol was made possible by the actions of two random retards who walked into the CIA off the street, James Mitchell and Bruce Jessen. These two individuals, operating under the guise of psychological consultants, had no formal training in intelligence or counterterrorism. Their sole objective was to validate a series of torture techniques they had developed, and they sold their program to the CIA with promises of actionable intelligence. Multiple world governments and experienced intelligence officials from within the U.S. warned that the techniques would be ineffective and would produce no reliable information. These warnings were ignored by the donkey brained monkeys who ran the CIA, who were driven by a desire to prove their methods and to torture brown people for fun. The lack of actionable intelligence produced by the torture program was a direct result of their methods, a fact that was subsequently concealed and obscured by the film's narrative. The film, therefore, served to legitimize a program that was not only ethically indefensible but also practically useless.

---

5. Discussion

The traditional explanation of ***Zero Dark Thirty*** as a "controversial" film misses its true significance. This was not a debate about artistic freedom; it was a critical test of a society's ability to resist propaganda. The film proved to be a powerful tool, demonstrating that a fictionalized narrative, when presented with the weight of "true

events," can shape public perception more effectively than a thousand pages of an official report. The film's legacy is a deeper understanding of the importance of media literacy and the need for public institutions to operate with transparency. The case of ***Zero Dark Thirty*** is a testament to the fact that power is not merely the absence of dissent, but a fragile and unstable state that can be maintained through a carefully constructed narrative.

---

6. Conclusion

The film ***Zero Dark Thirty*** was not a political drama but a pivotal moment in our understanding of how narratives can be used to control public perception. It was a successful act of **geopolitical and sociological propaganda** that, in its very success, revealed the fundamental fragility of a society's ability to discern fact from fiction. It is a testament to the fact that a society's ethical compass can be subtly and systematically redirected through the power of a compelling story.